

Tuesday 29<sup>th</sup> September 2015

Location	Author(s)	Title
<b>Pentland Room - Chairperson - Philippe Ravaud</b>		
11.00 - 11.15	Pranic S, Marusic A	Cross-sectional study of registered data and results reporting agreement in a cohort of trials in ClinicalTrials.gov
11.15 - 11.30	Chan A-W, Pello A, Kitchen J, Axentiev A, Coomes E, Liu A, Virtanen J, Hemminki E	Quality of dissemination from protocol approval to registration and publication: Inception cohort study of clinical trials approved in Finland
11.30 - 11.45	Lam K, Weinberg T, Kitchen J, Chan AW	Registration rates and outcome discrepancies in dermatologic trials of drug versus non-drug interventions
11.45 - 12.00	Cartabellotta A, Iacono C, Petraglia S, Pani L	The fate of drugs independent research in Italy: a cross-sectional study
<b>Duddingston Room – Chairperson – Gerd Antes</b>		
11.00 - 11.15	Armstrong R, Campbell M, Craig P, Hoffman T, Katikireddi SV, Waters E	Development of TIDieR-PHP: a reporting guideline for social and public health interventions
11.15 - 11.30	Odutayo A, Copley B, MacCarthy A, Chan AW, Altman DG, and Hopewell S	Registration of Clinical Trials in the United Kingdom
11.30 - 11.45	Mayo-Wilson E, Grant S, Cybulski L, Montgomery P	Improving transparency and reducing waste beyond biomedicine
11.45 - 12.00	Swaen G, Bouter L M, Zeegers M	How waste continues after publishing – systematic review on determinants of citation bias
<b>Holyrood Room – Chairperson – Iain Chalmers</b>		
11.00 – 11.15	Borah R, Brown A, Capers P, Kaiser K	The future of evidence-based medicine is not so bright: A meta-analysis of the PROSPERO systematic review registry
11.15 – 11.30	Page MJ, Shamseer L, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Sampson M, Tricco AC, Catalá-López F et al	Epidemiology and reporting characteristics of systematic reviews: 2014 update
11.30 – 11.45	Shamseer L, Page M, Reid E, Turner L, Sampson M, Moher D	Epidemiology and reporting characteristics of systematic review protocols
11.45 – 12.00	Campbell P, Enderby P, Godwin J, Langhorne P, Mead G, van Wijck F, Pollock A	Reducing wasted research effort by increasing the clinical value and accessibility of systematic reviews
<b>Salisbury Room – Chairperson – Malcolm Macleod</b>		
11.00 – 11.15	Langan SM, Guttman A, Harron K, Moher D, Petersen I, Sørensen HT et al	Guidelines for the REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health data (RECORD): An extension of the STROBE reporting guidelines
11.15 – 11.30	Young A, Thomas S	Maximising the benefit from health research: adding value by closing the loop
11.30 – 11.45	Gauthier M, Ravaud P, Baron G, Dechartres A, Haneef R, Boutron I	Public availability of results of observational studies evaluating an intervention registered at ClinicalTrials.gov

**Tuesday 29<sup>th</sup> September 2015**

<b>Location</b>	<b>Author(s)</b>	<b>Title</b>
<b>Pentland Room – Chairperson – John Ioannidis</b>		
14.00 – 14.15	MacLeod MR on behalf of the IICARus and NPQIP investigators	Strategies for publishers to improve the reporting of in vivo research
14.15 – 14.30	Leenaars M, Ritskes-Hoitinga M	Lessons learned from the implementation of systematic reviews in laboratory animal science
14.30 – 14.45	Holman C, Diamantaras A, Grittner U, Kimmelman J, Piper SK, Siegerink B, Dirnagl U	Where Have All the Rodents Gone? The Effects of Attrition in Experimental Research on Cancer and Stroke
14.45 – 15.00	Danborg PB, Simonsen AL, Hrøbjartsson A, Gøtzsche PC	Risk of bias assessment in preclinical research supporting clinical practice
15.00 – 15.15	Sena ES, on behalf of the MultiPART consortium	Multi centre animal studies: a strategy to improve the prospects of translational success
15.15 – 15.30	Offenhauser N, Kurreck C, Dirnagl U, for the Department of Experimental Neurology QM Steering Group	From policies to standards: Implementing and managing a structured ISO 9001 certified Quality System in an academic translational neuroscience research lab
<b>Duddingston Room – Chairperson – Ana Marusic</b>		
14.00 – 14.15	Galipeau J, Cobey KD, Shamseer L, Moher D	The Role of Publication Officers in Increasing Value and Decreasing Waste in Biomedical Research
14.15 – 14.30	Shamseer L, Barbour V, Bell-Syer S, Cumpston M, Deeks J, Garner P, MacLehose H, Straus S et al	Developing Core Competencies for Scientific Editors of Biomedical Journals
14.30 – 14.45	Callender T	Reporting Carbon to Reduce Waste and Improve Efficiency – The GREENER Initiative
14.45 – 15.00	Toews I, Wolff RF, Binder N, Toprak G, von Elm E, Meerpohl JJ	Editorial policies of hematology and oncology journals: A cross-sectional study of author instructions
15.00 – 15.15	The Transparency and Openness Promotion (TOP) Committee	The TOP Guideline to promote transparency, openness, and reproducibility
15.15 – 15.30	Rodríguez AC	Making the case for creating synergy between EQUATOR and manuscript editing companies
<b>Holyrood Room – Chairperson – Doug Altman</b>		
14.00 – 14.15	Kapadia M, Moher D, Offringa M, on BEHALF OF PRISMA-PC and PRISMA-C STEERING GROUP	Increasing value and reducing waste in child health systematic reviews and meta-analyses - Protocols (PRISMA-PC) and Reporting (PRISMA-C)
14.15 – 14.30	Clyburne-Sherin A, Thurairajah P, Chan WWY, Kapadia M, Moher D, Klassen T, Offringa M	SPIRIT-C: Increasing research value / reducing research waste through evidence-based reporting standards for pediatric clinical trial protocols
14.30 – 14.45	Clyburne-Sherin A, Thurairajah P, Chan WWY, Kapadia M, Moher D, Chan A, Klassen T, Offringa M	CONSORT-C: Increasing research value / reducing research waste through evidence-based reporting standards for pediatric clinical trials
14.45 – 15.00	Kapadia M, Thurairajah P, Terwee C, Beaton D, Offringa M	New evidence and consensus based reporting Instrument for the Selection of Pediatric Endpoints in Clinical Trials: InSPECT
15.00 – 15.15	Kelly LE, Offringa M	Increasing value and reducing waste in child health research through evidence-based guidance for selecting a comparator treatment in pediatric clinical trials
15.15 – 15.30	Kapadia M, Kelly LE, Needham A, Chan W, Clyburne-Sherin A, Thurairajah P, Offringa M	Increasing value and reducing waste in child health research by developing and implementing novel methodology: Enhancing Research Impact in Child Health (EnRICH)
<b>Salisbury Room – Chairperson – Gerd Antes</b>		
14.00 – 14.15	Barnett A, Clarke P, Herbert D	Randomness and high cost for funding outcomes
14.15 – 14.30	Shamseer L, Moher D, Maduekwe O, Turner L, Barbour V, Burch R et al	Comparison of characteristics potential predatory journals with subscription and open access journals. A cross-sectional study.
14.30 – 14.45	Olivieri J, Manfredi L, Postacchini L, Tedesco S, Leoni P, Gabriella A et al	Poor adherence to methodological recommendations supports biased research results in steroid-refractory chronic Graft-versus-Host Disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis
14.45 – 15.00	Malicki M, Utrobicic A, Marusic A	Addressing duplicate publications in biomedicine: a mixed method study
15.00 – 15.15	Hemminki E	Improper research regulation is a cause for waste in clinical research
15.15 – 15.30	Bouter LM, Axelsen N, ter Riet G, Tijdink J	Survey among experts to rank major and minor research misbehaviors

**Wednesday 30<sup>th</sup> September 2015**

Location	Author(s)	Title
<b>Pentland Room - Chairperson – Malcolm Macleod</b>		
09.30 – 09.45	Mayo-Wilson E, Fusco N, Ehmsen J, Gresham G, Heyward J, Huftless S et al	Wasted results: Multiplicity in outcome definitions is a mechanism for reporting bias (oral presentation)
09.45 – 10.00	Odutayo A, Jairath V, Chan AW, Hopewell S, Altman DG	Assessing the Use of Patient Reported Outcomes in Protocols for Randomized Controlled Trials Approved in the United Kingdom in 2012
10.00 - 10.15	Agha RA, Fowler AJ, Sagou H, Pidgeon TE, Wellstead G, Orgill DP	THE NEED FOR CORE OUTCOME REPORTING IN AUTOLOGOUS FAT GRAFTING FOR BREAST RECONSTRUCTION
10.15 – 10.30	Dickersin K, Saldanha I, Le J, Law A, Scherer R, Li T	Use of a well-known surrogate outcome instead of a patient-important outcome can be viewed as research waste: Examination of an ad hoc sample of clinical trials and systematic reviews
<b>Duddingston Room – Chairperson – An-Wen Chan</b>		
09.30 – 09.45	Boutron I, Dechartres A, Baron G, Li J, Ravaud P	Increasing research value through data sharing by pharmaceutical companies
09.45 – 10.00	Sach T	Could value of information methods be useful in prioritising research to address the treatment uncertainties identified by James Lind Alliance Priority setting partnerships?
10.00 - 10.15	Papathanasiou A	A novel type of literature review that promotes more useful research
10.15 – 10.30	Job DE, Danso S, Dickie DA, Rodriguez D, Robson A, Pernet C, Shenkin SD, Wardlaw JM	Reuse of brain imaging and clinical data from completed studies across the life course: the Brain Images of Normal Subjects (BRAINS) Imagebank.
<b>Holyrood Room – Chairperson – Gerd Antes</b>		
09.30 – 09.45	Nasser M, Glasziou P, Chalmers I	What do medical research funders do to increase value and reduce waste in research?
09.45 – 10.00	Ferri M, Bo A, Oliver S, Moreira M, Amato L, Davioli M	Avoiding waste in research on treatment of drug dependence: a systematic approach by the European Monitoring Centre on Drugs and Drug Dependence and the European Commission
10.00 - 10.15	Hemkens LG, Benchimol EI, Langan SM, Briel M, Kasenda B, Januel JM et al	REPORTING OF STUDIES USING ROUTINELY COLLECTED HEALTH DATA: SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE ANALYSIS
10.15 – 10.30	Ndounga Diakou LA, Ntoumi F, Bafeta A, Boutron I	Waste in the conduct and reporting of randomized controlled trials performed in Sub-Saharan Africa
<b>Salisbury Room – Chairperson – Paul Glasziou</b>		
09.30 – 09.45	Hermann-Lingen C, Brunner E, Hildenbrand S, Loew TH, Raupach T, Spies C et al	Evaluation of medical research performance – the position of the Association of the Scientific Medical Societies in Germany (AWMF)
09.45 – 10.00	Report by the Nuffield Council on Bioethics	The culture of scientific research in the UK
10.00 - 10.15	Needham AC, Junker A, Kimber S, Heslegrave R, Boydell K, Greenberg R et al	Variability in application of research ethics principles in reviews of multicentre clinical trial protocols: Qualitative exploration of inter-institutional ethics reviews

**Wednesday 30<sup>th</sup> September 2015**

<b>Location</b>	<b>Author(s)</b>	<b>Title</b>
<b>Pentland Room — <i>Chairperson – Doug Altman</i></b>		
11.00 - 11.15	Li T, Rouse B, Shi Q, Dickersin K	Working from all angles
11.15 - 11.30	Crequit P, Trinquart L, Yavchitz A, Ravaud P	Wasted research when systematic reviews fail to provide a complete and up-to-date evidence synthesis: the example of lung cancer
11.30 - 11.45	Strech D, Chin W, Hradetzky S, Silva D	Informed development and implementation of registries in animal research. Findings from a systematic review and from key informant interviews
11.45 - 12.00	Loudon K	Reducing waste through better trial design: the PRECIS-2 tool